ARIZONA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Contributed Report CM 94-F, plate 3 of 4

RECONNAISSANCE ENVIRONMENTAL GEOLOGY OF THE TONTO FOOTHILLS, SCOTTSDALE

MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

by Troy L. Péwé, Ray Kenny and Jim Bales,

1985

WASTE- DISPOSAL

Prepared in cooperation with
Department of Geology, Arizona State University
Long Range Planning Department, City of Scottsdale
Arizona State Land Department

INTRODUCTION*

In dealing with waste disposal and the placement of waste disposal facilities in the Tonto Foothills area, a major concern is the geological suitability of the land. Waste disposal facilities that should be considered in planning for urbanization include septic tank systems, sewage treatment plants with waste stabilization ponds, and sanitary landfills. The use of cesspools is prohibited by the State of Arizona Administrative Rules and Regulations R9-8-313B.

As the amount of residential and commerical development in the Tonto Foothills area increases, an evaluation of waste disposal suitability and siting is necessary. Current (1985) Maricopa County Public Health Department (MCPHD) regulations require percolation tests and test borings before any type of waste disposal system is installed. This map shows the geologic units rated from the most to least favorable for waste disposal in the study area, and lists generalized characteristics for each unit. Specific locations should have an on-site investigation of local conditions prior to any construction.

Waste disposal suitability is determined by several interrelated parameters: permeability of the alluvium, expressed by a percolation rate in minutes per inch, depth to and presence of caliche or bedrock, percent of slope of the land, flood hazard, texture of the alluvium and depth to groundwater. Permeability is a measure of the interconnection of pore spaces in a soil, in effect, how quickly water moves through that soil. Percolation tests performed at a suggested disposal site provide information on permeability. The percolation rate is the number of minutes required for water in a test hole to fall one inch and measures lateral movement through the soil. Permeability generally increases with increased grain size, and decreases when caliche or bedrock is encountered. In addition to reducing water percolation, near-surface caliche and bedrock are difficult to excavate and increase the cost of the sewage system.

Slope steepness is another factor. Steep slopes allow liquid wastes to move too rapidly through alluvium for proper leaching, and leach field are more susceptible to damage from storm runoff and gullying. Flooding is a hazard near the major washes in the study area, and floodwaters could flush wastes out of the disposal site and possibly contaminate downstream water supplies. Sheet flooding prevalent on the middle and lower parts of alluvial fans can introduce extra water into the disposal system, overloading and perhaps overflowing it.

Coarser alluvium is more generally permeable than finer alluvium and bedrock; however, the faster percolation rates prevent adequate filtering of wastes. Finer material, with some clay, is more favorable because of a slower percolation rate, higher adsorption potential of clay, and larger surface area for adsorption. Clay particles hold charged cations which are electrically exchanged for pollutants in the wastes. If grain size is too fine, the percolation rate is too slow and ponding of effluent in the disposal area occurs. Sandy soils containing less than 25-30% clay (loam and sandy loam) are the most suitable for disposal systems. If depth to groundwater is great, coarser material may be suitable because percolating wastes would have sufficient time for filtration.

Types of waste disposal systems

Septic tanks are small scale waste disposal systems designed to purify liquid wastes by passing them through soil. The size of the disposal pit or leach field is determined by the percolation rate and the number of bedrooms planned for the home. If the percolation rate is greater than 60 minutes per inch, the soil is unsuitable for septic tank use. Disposal trenches for septic systems should be constructed parallel to ground contours, and steeper slopes require larger spacing between trenches placed on different contours. Slower percolation rates require larger leach fields for the site. The groundwater table and bedrock or other impervious material (caliche) must be four feet or more below the bottom of the leach field under current (1985) regulations. In addition, MCPHD requires that the system be set back from dry washes, streams, houses and wells.

Major geologic considerations in the siting of waste stabilization ponds are slopes, permeability, flood hazard and ease of excavation. Areas with caliche provide the reduced permeability necessary to contain the liquids, but are difficult and costly to excavate. In some parts of the study area, relief may be so low that extensive excavation may be needed to provide an adequate reservoir. In other areas, soils are not impermeable enough over large areas to meet the recommended low percolation rates for such ponds, therefore, lining of the ponds would be required.

Ideally, sanitary landfills should be placed in areas where the soil or subsurface material has low permeability so leachate percolates very slowly into underlying material and does not pollute groundwater. The material should not be so impermeable that ponding of the liquids in the landfill wastes occurs. Material that is impermeable when compacted is required to cover refuse daily to hinder the activity of insects, birds and vermin as well as to inhibit the flow of water through the refuse. The cover material should be workable in all weather, not a dust source, and easily compacted. The landfill area must be well drained so that surface water will not enter the landfill and saturate the wastes, and it should be located in a low flood-risk area. Accessibility to the landfill site must be easy, and long distances of refuse transport should be avoided to keep operating costs down. Calichified areas are generally unacceptable due to the difficulty of excavation and the general unsuitability of the excavated material for cover. Proximity to a source of cover must be considered and the material excavated from the landfill pit or trench should be used.





Base map from U.S.G.S. topographical maps, 1:24,000 Series; Cave Creek (1965); Currys Corner (1964); Humboldt Mountain (1964); New River Mesa (1964); Wildcat Hill (1965).

SCALE 1:24 000

CONTOUR INTERVAL 10, 20, 40 FEET

ROAD CLASSIFICATION: Heavy-duty; Light-duty; Medium-duty; Unimproved dirt

The depth to the regional groundwater table in the study area ranges from 100 to 500 ft in the basin alluvium; however, perched water may be encountered below the alluvial surface at any depth. Perched water collects above small lenses or zones of material such as clay, having lower permeability than the surrounding material. The zone of lower permeability is similar to a small dam, slowing the descent of water toward the regional water table. The volume of water perched above the low permeability zone is generally small, and usually insufficient in the study area for a dependable supply of water. Groundwater in bedrock exists essentially only in fractures and joints.

Water from waste systems in the study area may pollute perched groundwater if the perched zone occurs only slightly below the alluvial surface. The water generally would have percolated through insufficient subsurface material to have been cleansed or filtered. Groundwater in fractures also may be polluted by waste systems in the study area, and possibly to even greater depths. Permeability along fractures can be very great, and the fractures contain few if any fines for filtering. Increased development and higher population density in the study area may lead to future groundwater contamination from wastes in perched and fractured bedrock zones. Because of the great depth of the regional water table, waste disposal carried out with reasonable care should not become a pollution problem in the basin alluvium.

Local waste disposal conditions

The most favorable waste disposal conditions of the study area occur in the thick deposits of fine-grained alluvium of the basin floor and medium-grained alluvium of the Carefree Basin. Permeability is high and percolation rates range from less than 0.2 to 30 minutes per inch. The relatively higher percentage of fines (clays and silts) in such material makes it very effective in adsorbing pollutants as water percolates downward toward the very deep water table. Unit I covers about 25% of the study area (33 square miles or 88 square kilometers) and contains such material. However, caliche occurs at the surface or at moderate depths below the surface, generally 5 to 15 ft (1.6 to 4.6m), which reduces the suitability for waste disposal. Small scale individual septic service probably will encounter difficulty with caliche and require artificial leach fields; larger scale systems may be excavated beneath indurated surface caliche to sediment of desired permeability.

SELECTED REFERENCES

Arizona State Department of Health, 1970, Minimum requirements for design, submission of plans and specifications of sewage work: Engineering Bulletin No. 11, 43 p.
_____, 1973, Basic criteria for solid waste disposal by sanitary landfill: Engineering Bulletin No. 14, 28 p.
_____, 1976, Guidelines for installation of septic tank systems: Engineering Bulletin No. 12, 15 p.
Christenson, G.E., Welsch, D.G., and Péwé, T.L., 1978, Environmental geology of the McDowell Mountains area, Maricopa County, Arizona: University of Arizona, Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology, Folio Series, Map GI-1-A (Geology) 1:24,000.
_____, 1979, Environmental geology of the McDowell Mountains area, Maricopa County, Arizona: University of Arizona, Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology, Folio Series, Map GI-1-D (Caliche) 1:24,000. Map GI-1-D (Caliche) 1:24,000.
_____, 1979, Environmental geology of the McDowell Mountains area, Maricopa County, Arizona: University of Arizona, Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology, Folio Series, Map GI-1-E (Groundwater) 1:24,000.
Hartman, G.W., 1973, General soil map, Maricopa County, Arizona: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 49 p.
Péwé, T.L., Bales, J.T., and Montz, M., 1983, Waste disposal, in: Reconnaissance environmental geology of Northern Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona, City of Scottsdale, 1:24,000.
Salvato, J.A., Jr., 1972, Environmental Engineering and Sanitation: New York, Wiley-Interscience, 919 p.
Welsch, D.G., and Péwé, T.L., 1979, Environmental geology of the McDowell Mountains area, Maricopa County, Arizona, Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology, Folio Series, Map GI-1-C (Land Slopes) 1:24,000.
Wilson, L.G., 1981, Guidance manual for landfill siting in Arizona: Arizona Department of Health Services, Phoenix, Arizona, 127 p. (draft report).

*This map involves a general evaluation on a broad scale and does not preclude the necessity of site investigation.

MAP SYMBOLS

- Contact, dashed where inferred.
- Sanitary landfill
- Caliche: strongly indurated at surface
- strongly indurated, depth in feet

EXPLANATION

Map areas are rated from most favorable (I) to least favorable (VII) for the operation of sanitary landfills, septic tank systems and waste stabilization ponds, on the basis of percolation rate, difficulty of excavation, slope and flood hazard. Names and locations of subdivisions where percolation rate data were obtained, or will be obtained when development begins are also shown on the map. Contamination from landfill leachate or septic tank and treatment plant (stabilization pond) effluent is highly unlikely with ground water at relatively great depths, general percolation rates low and the general ability of the soils to filter pollutants. However, if such facilities were placed close to pumping wells, lateral movement of shallow, polluted wastes may cause contamination in shallow wells.

WASTE DISPOSAL UNIT	LANDFORMS UNIT	GEOLOGICAL UNIT	PERCOLATION RATES (minutes per inch)	DEPTH TO CALICHE (DEVELOPMENT)	AVERAGE SLOPE	SOIL TYPE (UNIFIED SOIL CLASS.)	SUITABILITY FOR SEPTIC TANKS	SUITABILITY FOR WASTE STABILIZATION PONDS	SUITABILITY FOR SANITARY LANDFILLS	SUITABILITY FOR USE AS LANDFILL COVER MATERIAL
I	Basin floors.	Fine-grained alluvium in SW area and medium-grained alluvium in Carefree Basin.	2.0 to 30.0[1]	Indurated caliche at or within 5 ft of the surface; locally deeper.	0-2%	Gravelly loam (GC,SC)	Good, if below or above upper caliche layer, otherwise, poor to fair. Permeability sufficient, depth to bedrock great, difficult to excavate in caliche; flood hazard great in large floods, particularly near washes. Artificial leach field common.	Poor, slopes and relief are sufficiently low but permeability and flood hazard great, easily excavated beneath caliche but lining of pond necessary.	Fair to good, local source of cover, depth to bedrock great, excavation easy below caliche, flood hazard locally severe near streams, permeability sufficiently low.	Good to poor, good to poor compaction, impermeable when compacted.
IIA	Modern stream channels. A) Cave Creek	A) Boulder alluvium and very coarse-grained alluvium, with matrix of silt and sand.	No data.	A) Absent	A) 0-2%	A) Gravel (GP)	A) Poor, permeability great, subject to piping through gravel, no caliche but locally difficult to excavate due to large boulders, flood hazard severe.	A) Poor, excavation easy but locally many boulders, permeability high and flood hazard severe.	A) Extremely poor, high permeability, subject to piping, flood hazard severe.	A) Poor, very permeable even when compacted, poor compaction, easily excavated but boulder content great, little binding material.
IIB	B) Camp Creek, White Eagle Wash, and Grapevine Wash, Upper Brownie Wash.	B) Medium alluvium.	No data.	B) Absent	B) 0-10%	B) Sandy gravel (SG)	B) Poor, permeability great, subject to piping through gravel, flood hazard severe.	B) Poor, excavation easy, but permeability high and flood severe.	B) Extremely poor, high permeability, subject to piping, flood hazard severe.	B) Poor, very permeable, even when compacted, little binding material.
IIIA	Stream terraces. A) Cave Creek	A) Low and high stream terrace deposits.	No data.	A) In high terrace, strongly indurated and laminar caliche. Depth to caliche 1 to 4 ft. Weakly developed in low terrace.	A) 0-2%	A) Gravel (GP)	A) Poor in high terrace because of strongly indurated caliche at shallow depth, and difficult to excavate due to large boulders; fair in low terrace because of large boulders and flood potential moderate.	A) Fair on high terrace, excavation difficult because of boulders and caliche. Little permeability, except below caliche.	A) Fair to good on high terrace, but excavation difficult because of strongly indurated caliche and high boulder content, permeability sufficient beneath caliche. Fair on low terrace because of moderate flood potential.	A) Fair, difficult to excavate because of boulders and caliche, moderate compaction.
IIIB	B) Camp Creek, White Eagle Wash, and Grapevine Wash, Upper Brownie Wash.	B) Stream terrace deposits.	No data.	B) Absent or weakly developed.	B) 0-10%	B) Sandy gravel (SG)	B) Good, permeability sufficient, depth to bedrock greater than 5 ft, caliche generally absent; no flood hazard.	B) Good, but areal extent greatly restricted.	B) Good, but areal extent greatly restricted.	B) Fair to good, permeable even when compacted. Easily excavated.
IV	Modern pediment stream channels.	Fine- to medium-grained alluvium.	No data.	Absent	2-5%	Sandy gravel (SG)	Fair to poor. Alluvium easily excavated, no caliche, permeability high to very high. Flood hazard great, areal extent limited, bedrock shallow.	Poor, permeability and flood hazard high, slopes and relief low, easily excavated, limited areal extent, shallow bedrock.	Extremely poor, high permeability, alluvium easily excavated, shallow bedrock, severe flood hazard, limited area.	Poor, low permeability when compacted, but too gravelly, alluvium easily excavated, compaction fair, little binding material.
V	Bedrock highlands, fault-block hills.	Well indurated and unconsolidated rocks.	No data.	Absent except in fractures and in slope debris.	Generally greater than 15%.	---	Poor, impermeable except in open fractures with piping and plugging possible, no filtering or cleansing of effluent, very difficult to excavate, flood hazard slight.	Poor, slopes prohibitive, excavation very difficult, impervious except for fractures, no flood hazard.	Extremely poor, impermeable but piping of leachate possible, very difficult to excavate, slopes prohibitive.	Extremely poor, very difficult to excavate, crushing necessary.
VI	Pediment.	Pediment alluvium; thin grus cover, bedrock at or near surface.	No data. Grus is highly permeable, bedrock and calichified areas impermeable.	At or within 5 ft (1.6 m) of surface (strong).	2-10%	Gravel (G)	Fair to poor, grus highly permeable, bedrock or calichified areas impermeable, shallow to surface bedrock, excavation of grus easy, excavation of bedrock and caliche may require blasting, strong caliche at or near surface, flood hazard slight except near major washes. Locally grus may be up to 15 ft (4.6m) thick.	Fair to poor, slopes and relief low to moderate, permeability of grus high, bedrock and calichified areas impermeable, flood hazard slight except near major washes, grus easily excavated, but bedrock and caliche difficult to excavate.	Extremely poor, little local cover available, bedrock at or near surface, excavation of grus easy, excavation of bedrock or caliche difficult, flood hazard slight except near major washes.	Extremely poor, not compactible, no binding material, excavation of bedrock and caliche difficult, excavation of grus easy.
VII	High pediment erosional remnants.	Pediment alluvium; thin (1/2 to 5 ft; 15 to 150cm) cover composed of dark gray slabby clasts of metamorphic rocks up to 4" diameter.	No data.	Weak to absent.	8%	Gravel (GW)	Fair to good where alluvium is greater than 4 ft (1.3m), easily excavated, caliche weak to absent.	Fair, but areal extent greatly restricted.	Extremely poor, depth to bedrock 1 to 5 ft (0.3 to 1.6m) and areal extent greatly restricted.	Poor, not compactible, little binding material, excavation of bedrock difficult.

[1] Percolation rates generalized from Maricopa County Public Health Department data.